UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,836	06/15/2007	Matthew Eric Smith	KILBU P-92 (27865.034)	5495
32137 PATENT DOC	7590 01/05/201 KET CLERK	EXAMINER		
COWAN, LIEBOWITZ & LATMAN, P.C. 1133 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS			SMALLEY, JAMES N	
NEW YORK, N			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3781	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/05/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office A . # O	10/593,836	SMITH ET AL.	SMITH ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JAMES N. SMALLEY	3781				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ac	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19	October 2010					
	nis action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
·		,				
Disposition of Claims						
	Claim(s) <u>16-28</u> is/are pending in the application.					
<u> </u>	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>16-18, 24, 27 and 28</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>19-23, 25 and 26</u> is/are objected to).					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/593,836 Page 2

Art Unit: 3781

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Examiner disagrees with Applicant's summary of the Interview of October 15, 2010 (file wrapper date October 19, 2010). Examiner asserts that there was never agreement as to the patentability of the amended independent claim 16. Instead, Examiner only agreed that the proposed amendment would define over the Yost reference.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 25 depends from cancelled claim 1. For purposes of examination, it is assumed to depend from claim 16. Claim 26 depends from claim 25.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 16-18, 24, 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Granat et al. US 4,732,289.

Regarding claim 16, Granat '289 Figure 3 teaches a receptacle (122), annular shoulder (144) and a lid having an annular web (unlabeled, read to be the uppermost projection above 118), annular flange (134), resilient hinge (135), a first gas seal (between the web and the upper neck as these are in flush contact), a second gas seal (between the free end of the flange and shoulder 144) and a third gas seal

Art Unit: 3781

(126). Examiner notes Figure 1 shows that the flange (34) is formed downwardly. The skirt is at the very least in circumferential tension as it is tightly applied, which would also cause the web to be deformed by the upper edge of the container neck, since these two are in contact with each other.

Regarding claim 17, the hinge is tearable since it is formed of plastic and is thin relative to the flange and the skirt.

Regarding claim 18, the closure is capable of being snapped on, since it is formed of plastic. The limitation is a product-by-process limitation, and thus only the final product (i.e. a closure applied to a container neck) is required by the prior art, absent further claiming the structures and their function which cause the snap-fit to occur. It has been held that method limitations in a product claim do not serve to patentably distinguish the claimed product from the prior art. See *In re Thorpe*, 777 F.2d 695, 227 USPQ 964 (Fed. Cir. 1985). Thus, even though a product-by-process claim is limited and defined by a process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. Accordingly, if the product in a product-by-process claim is the same or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior art product was made by a different process. *Thorpe*, 777 F.2d at 697, 227 USPQ at 966; *In re Marosi*, 710 F2.d 799, 218 USPQ 289 (Fed. Cir. 1983).

Regarding claim 24, the flange has to be deflected by lateral contact with at least the shoulder (144) since the shoulder has a larger radial extent.

Regarding claims 27 and 28, the flange clearly has a larger distal end than proximal end, as shown in Figure 3, and in figure 1.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 19-23, 25 and 26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/593,836 Page 4

Art Unit: 3781

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 16-28 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAMES N. SMALLEY whose telephone number is (571)272-4547. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 10 am - 7 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Anthony Stashick can be reached on (571) 272-4561. The fax phone number for the organization where
this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/593,836 Page 5

Art Unit: 3781

1000.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-

/Anthony Stashick/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3781

/James N Smalley/ Examiner, Art Unit 3781